

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/005,083		12/04/2001	Frank Schwandner	O01-035A	8582
26683	7590	06/06/2005		EXAM	INER
THE GATE	S CORF	ORATION	KIM, AHSHIK		
IP LAW DEPT. 10-A3 1551 WEWATTA STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DENVER, (2876	·	

DATE MAILED: 06/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/005,083	SCHWANDNER, FRANK					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ahshik Kim	2876					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the months after the maximum patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir idwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/	<u> (25/05 (Amendment)</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ T	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•					
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	· / ——						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 16-20</u> is/are rejected.	•						
7) Claim(s) <u>10-15</u> is/are objected to.		,					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	₩						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a	•	•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	- · ·	• •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form P1O-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		•					
1. Certified copies of the priority docume							
2. Certified copies of the priority docume							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		received in this National Stage					
application from the International Burn	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	received					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	nation the certified copies flot	i eceiveu.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) —-					

Application/Control Number: 10/005,083 Page 2

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Response

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the response filed on February 25, 2005. No claims were canceled, amended or added. Currently, claims 1-20 remain for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
- 10 A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

15

20

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

3. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rensel (US 6,036,179, hereinafter "Rensel").

Rensel teaches a data system (see figure 6) comprising an elastomeric product 22 having a receiving portion for radio frequency device 27 (col. 4, lines 37+; col. 4, lines 63+). As shown in figure 5, the transponder is further comprised of a microchip 33, a battery 28, and antenna 36 for communicating with a hand held unit 54A.

4. Claims 16- 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kulka et al. (US 5,483,827, hereinafter "Kulka").

Kulka teaches a data system comprising an active integrated circuit transponder installed on an elastomeric product (see abstract; col. 2, lines 56+; col. 4, lines 44+). The elastomeric

Art Unit: 2876

5

15

20

product 68 (see figure 7 and 8) is considered a spun material, and the radio frequency chip can be installed in a pocket 7 (or an encapsulating medium) (col. 4, lines 55+). The encapsulating material is a cured rubber. The data transmitting apparatus can be integrally installed or detachably installed as disclosed in figures 7 and 8 (col. 7, lines 40+).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 10-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the 10 claims are directed at a transponder moveably implanted into a pocket on a spindle sleeve. As disclosed in the reference to Aslam, and previously cited references to Epstein and Kleinfelter, a data system comprising an elastomeric product is well known in the art. However, the references, taken alone or in combination, fail to suggest or teach a particular structure wherein the sleeve comprises a sealable pocket and a toothed profile as set forth in the claims.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed on February 25, 2005 have been carefully considered. However, it is the Examiner's view that the Rensel patent and Kulka patent disclose the subject matter recited in the rejected claims.

Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's interpretation of Kulka patent. Kulka discloses a method of enclosing a transponder 10 is integrally mounted within the tire 60 (col. 7, lines 41). The embodiment shown in figure 8 is an "alternative" mounting position. The

Application/Control Number: 10/005,083

Art Unit: 2876

transponder is mounted in the inner surface of the tire 60. Then the elastomeric patch or membrane 59 is mounted over the transponder 10. It is the Examiner's view that the space crated between the tire 60 and the membrane 59 constitute a pocket the Applicant recites. "Fixedly mount" (col. 7, line58) is interpreted as holding the transponder secure while the tire is in motion, rather than the membrane 59 and tire 60 becoming one piece in integrated manner. Such process is already shown in figure 7.

Page 4

With respect to Rensel, although the reference does not provide in great detail the actual steps of manufacturing the tire, the entire inner surface (or any portion of the tire on which the sensor is mounted) can be considered a receiving portion. Furthermore, the manufacturing process will certainly include curing ("to prepare, preserve, or finish (a substance) by a chemical or physical process" according to the definition in www.dictionary.com) of the tire.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered, but in view of the above, the Examiner maintains the rejections made in previous Office Action. Therefore, this Office Action is made final.

15

20

25

10

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Ahshik Kim* whose telephone number is (571)272-2393. The examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 6:00AM to 3:00PM Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee, can be reached on (571)272-2398. The fax number directly to the Examiner is (571)273-2393. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)872-9306.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [ahshik.kim@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that

Application/Control Number: 10/005,083

Art Unit: 2876

sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Ahshik Kim
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2876

Page 5

May 27, 2005

10

5